

S5: License agreement for ODD

¹By default, we recommend ODD descriptions to be released under a Creative Commons (CC) license, because CC is a very flexible licensing scheme and, unlike the GNU FDL, does not require for the full license text to be provided with the ODD description. Furthermore, CC licenses are compatible with bioRxiv (<https://www.biorxiv.org/>), which provides a platform adapted to the publishing of ODD descriptions. More information on licenses is provided below, but users not wishing to invest time in considering alternatives may directly skip to section “[Example license statements for ODD documents](#)” and simply copy-paste the CC-BY-SA statement to their ODD description to publish it under these terms.

General information

The default legal situation for documentation is much the same as for computer code: it remains the intellectual property of the copyright owner, and copying, modifying or reusing large parts of it is illegal without explicit authorization. Sometimes, however, we actively want people to engage with a document in ways that are prohibited by default. This is the case for ODD descriptions of models especially when we want to provide an updated ODD description for a revised version of a model, or a modified version of someone else’s model. In such cases, the actions we want to enable need to be duly stated in a legally binding form to prevent the default situation applying.

Fortunately, these circumstances have already been recognized in the free software community, where documentation of computer code needs to be made available for others to modify and re-release with adaptations. They also apply for wikis edited by multiple volunteers. There are various licenses that could be applied, and in this supplement we compare them with respect to the following criteria:

- Permission to copy the document
- Permission to modify the document
- Permission to redistribute the document
- Requirement to acknowledge the authors
- Requirement to license modifications using an equivalent license to the original document (copyleft)
- Provision for parts of the document that may not be modified (‘invariant parts’)
- Any constraints worth noting

¹ Lead authors of this supplement: Gary Polhill and Christian Vincenot.

We compare the following licenses:

- Creative Commons Attribution Share-Alike (CC BY-SA) (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>)
- GNU Free documentation licence (FDL) (<https://www.gnu.org/licenses/fdl-1.3.en.html>)

	CC BY-SA	GNU FDL
Copy	Yes	Yes
Modify	Yes	Yes
Redistribute	Yes	Yes
Acknowledge	Yes	Yes
Copyleft	Yes	Yes
Invariant parts	No	Yes
Cover text	No	Yes
Constraints	-	A 'transparent' copy of the document (e.g. in a text or non-proprietary format) is needed for bulk copying (>100 copies).

The Creative Commons licenses comes in a panel of variants. Here we chose to describe only the CC BY-SA version because it is the most widespread and fitting here, yet more (e.g. CC-BY-SA-ND) or less (e.g. CC0) restrictive options exist. The ShareAlike (-SA) flag insures that the license is reciprocal (or copyleft), meaning that future modifications must be released with a license similar to the one applying to the original document. Further options restrict the license to non-commercial (-NC) or non-derivative (-ND) uses.

The GNU FDL provides an alternative to CC licenses in cases in which invariants parts or cover text is necessary. Invariant sections allow to specify that some section of the document shall never be modified in future releases, even by third parties. This is useful for instance if a section describing personal notes or opinions by the developers is present within the ODD document and shall always remain accessible to future ODD users. A cover text, on the other hand, is “a short piece of text that you insist must be printed on the cover of the manual when the manual is published, even if someone else is publishing it”. See <https://www.gnu.org/licenses/fdl-howto-opt.html> on how to properly use GNU FDL options.



Supplementary file S5 to: Grimm, V. et al. (2020) 'The ODD Protocol for Describing Agent-Based and Other Simulation Models: A Second Update to Improve Clarity, Replication, and Structural Realism' *Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation* 23 (2) 7: <http://jasss.soc.surrey.ac.uk/23/2/7.html> [[10.18564/jasss.4259](https://doi.org/10.18564/jasss.4259)]

Licenses are updated with changes in common law and emerging needs. Thus, while old versions often remain applicable, users are encouraged to visit the websites of CC (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>) and GNU (www.gnu.org) to inquire about more recent licensing schemes.

Finally, it should be noted that the licenses of the ODD document and of the software are independent. Moreover, software are to be released with dedicated licenses that differ from the ones presented here. Readers interested in free license alternatives specifically for software can consider the GPL, LGPL, BSD, Apache, or WTFPL licenses for instance.

Example license statements for ODD documents

CC-BY-SA

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>.

This statement could be included in a footnote on the first page of the ODD.

GNU FDL

Copyright (C) YEAR YOUR NAME.

Permission is granted to copy, distribute and/or modify this document under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.3 or any later version published by the Free Software Foundation; with no Invariant Sections, no Front-Cover Texts, and no Back-Cover Texts. A copy of the license is included in the section entitled “GNU Free Documentation License”.

Users should fill in *YEAR* and *YOUR NAME* and then copy-paste the full GNU FDL available from <https://www.gnu.org/licenses/fdl-1.3.en.html> to a section of their ODD document called “GNU Free Documentation License”.