

ODD + D Protocol for Modelling Value Change: An Exploratory Approach, Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulations, 27(1), 3

Overview	Purpose
	To evaluate whether the pragmatist account of value change
	proposed in van de Poel and Kudina (2022) can successfully
	reproduce the four phenomena of value change: (1) the
	inevitability and stability of values, (2) societies differ in
	openness and resistance to change, (3) moral revolutions,
	and (4) lock-in.
	Entities, state variables, and scales
	Globals
	- Number of needs in society
	- Propensity of society to value dynamism, value
	adaptation, or innovation
	 Openness to changes in society
	- Value memory of society
	 External shocks affecting society's needs
	Needs
	- Size
	 Type of technologies to be addressed
	Humans
	- Which needs each human is addressing
	Technologies
	- Performance level
	- List of potential moral problems created when used
	- Acceptability
	Moral problems
	- Size
	- State: absent, unperceived, perceived
	Values
	- Importance
	- Moral problem addressed
	Process overview and scheduling
	1. Agent (huma) chooses a need to fulfill.
	2. Agent moves towards technologies and select those
	required to fulfill the need. If available, the agent
	chooses technologies that are deemed morally
	acceptable (black).
	3. Agent moves to the need and fulfills it.

	4. As a result of the use of the technology, new moral
	problems can be created or existing moral problems
	become more severe. If the size of the moral problem
	exceeds a first threshold, it becomes existent (but still
	unperceived by society) If the size exceeds a second
	threshold or if society has values corresponding to this
	moral problem the moral problem is persoived
	Γ If the technology regults in a perceived meral problem
	5. If the technology results in a perceived moral problem,
	the agent takes action. The agent can choose one of the
	following actions depending on the preferences of the
	a Value dynamism: the magnitude of the value
	a. Value uyllallisill. the magnitude of the value
	related to the moral problem increases only
	temporarily. If the value does not exist, it is
	created.
	b. Value adaptation: the importance of the value
	related to the moral problem is increased. If the
	value does not exist, it is created.
	c. Innovation: a new technology that is in line with
	values in society (i.e., morally acceptable) is
	created. The innovation is successful based on a
	random draw.
	6. After having performed one of the actions, the agent
	returns to base.
	7. With each tick, the acceptability of technologies is
	updated. A technology is unacceptable when its
	negative impacts on certain values are larger than the
	importance of the corresponding values.
Design	Design concepts
concepts	Theoretical and empirical background
_	- Pragmatist account of value change proposed in van de
	Poel and Kudina (2022)
	Individual decision making
	- Agents decide which technology should be used to
	fulfill the need, and how to react to emerging moral
	nrohlems (value dynamism value adaptation or
	innovation)
	Agents loarn how to use technologies more efficiently
	- Agents learn now to use technologies more efficient its
	The more a technology is used, the more efficient its
	use becomes. A technology used more efficiently will

reduce the moral problems it causes, even if some
moral problems are unavoidable. This learning effect
introduces a lock-in mechanism in the model. Societies
might stick to one technology even if a second
technology is fundamentally better (i.e., it creates
significantly fewer moral concerns).
Individual sensina
- Agents move towards technologies, needs, values, and
the innovation area. Agents adjust their trajectory
when technologies and values have moved on the man
Individual prediction
- None
Interaction
- Agents interact with technologies to evaluate which
will be used to address the need. Agents choose morally
accentable technologies (in black in the model) and
more efficient (they have been used more frequently by
othor agonts)
Agents interact with needs to address them using the
- Agents interact with needs to address them using the
the need is set to 'fulfilled'
A gente interest with morel concerns to evolute if they
- Agents interact with moral concerns to evaluate if they
are perceived through societal values or if the severity
of the moral problem exceeds a certain threshold).
- Agents interact with values to increase their
importance due to emerging moral concerns (value
dynamism and adaptation).
Collectives
- Multiple technologies that can address the same need
may exist (as a result of the creation of new
technologies through innovation). Agents choose a
morally acceptable technology with the highest
performance level if available.
Heterogeneity
- Technologies are heterogeneous in terms of the
potential moral problems they may create.
- Needs are heterogenous as they require different
technologies to be fulfilled. They also have different

	sizes, which means that addressing this need is likely to
	cause stronger moral problems.
	- Values have different levels of importance
	Stochasticity
	The following elements are stochastic in the model:
	- At the start of the model: the importance of values, the
	size of needs, and the potential moral problems created
	by existing technologies.
	- The moment a need becomes active, which signals
	agents that they should take action
	- Whether innovation is successful
	Observation
	The model provides outputs that describe how well society
	can cope with moral problems.
	- Number of unperceived moral problems
	- Number of perceived moral problems
	- Total severity of moral problems
	- Number of morally unacceptable technologies in use
Details	Implementation details
	The model was implemented in Netlogo. The analysis was
	norformed with DwNetlage (Java Degen and Kwaldrel 2010)
	performed with PyNeuogo (Jaxa-Rozen and Kwakkei 2018).
	Initialization
	Initialization - Agents are randomly placed on the model grid
	 Initialization Agents are randomly placed on the model grid Aents choose a first need to address
	 Initialization Agents are randomly placed on the model grid Aents choose a first need to address Values are attributed an initial level of importance
	 Initialization Agents are randomly placed on the model grid Aents choose a first need to address Values are attributed an initial level of importance Needs are attributed an initial size
	 Initialization Agents are randomly placed on the model grid Aents choose a first need to address Values are attributed an initial level of importance Needs are attributed an initial size A number of technologies is created per function
	 Initialization Agents are randomly placed on the model grid Aents choose a first need to address Values are attributed an initial level of importance Needs are attributed an initial size A number of technologies is created per function Values evaluate whether existing technologies are
	 Initialization Agents are randomly placed on the model grid Aents choose a first need to address Values are attributed an initial level of importance Needs are attributed an initial size A number of technologies is created per function Values evaluate whether existing technologies are morally unacceptable
	 Initialization Agents are randomly placed on the model grid Aents choose a first need to address Values are attributed an initial level of importance Needs are attributed an initial size A number of technologies is created per function Values evaluate whether existing technologies are morally unacceptable Input data
	 Initialization Agents are randomly placed on the model grid Aents choose a first need to address Values are attributed an initial level of importance Needs are attributed an initial size A number of technologies is created per function Values evaluate whether existing technologies are morally unacceptable Input data The model was built based on a description of the
	 Initialization Agents are randomly placed on the model grid Aents choose a first need to address Values are attributed an initial level of importance Needs are attributed an initial size A number of technologies is created per function Values evaluate whether existing technologies are morally unacceptable Input data The model was built based on a description of the pragmatist account of value change proposed in van de
	 Initialization Agents are randomly placed on the model grid Aents choose a first need to address Values are attributed an initial level of importance Needs are attributed an initial size A number of technologies is created per function Values evaluate whether existing technologies are morally unacceptable Input data The model was built based on a description of the pragmatist account of value change proposed in van de Poel and Kudina (2022). We have not used empirical
	 Initialization Agents are randomly placed on the model grid Aents choose a first need to address Values are attributed an initial level of importance Needs are attributed an initial size A number of technologies is created per function Values evaluate whether existing technologies are morally unacceptable Input data The model was built based on a description of the pragmatist account of value change proposed in van de Poel and Kudina (2022). We have not used empirical data. We attribute values to agents through scales (e.g.,
	 Initialization Agents are randomly placed on the model grid Aents choose a first need to address Values are attributed an initial level of importance Needs are attributed an initial size A number of technologies is created per function Values evaluate whether existing technologies are morally unacceptable Input data The model was built based on a description of the pragmatist account of value change proposed in van de Poel and Kudina (2022). We have not used empirical data. We attribute values to agents through scales (e.g., between 0 and 10) to evaluate the impact of low and
	 Initialization Agents are randomly placed on the model grid Aents choose a first need to address Values are attributed an initial level of importance Needs are attributed an initial size A number of technologies is created per function Values evaluate whether existing technologies are morally unacceptable Input data The model was built based on a description of the pragmatist account of value change proposed in van de Poel and Kudina (2022). We have not used empirical data. We attribute values to agents through scales (e.g., between 0 and 10) to evaluate the impact of low and high values on model outcomes (e.g., a low vs. a high
	 Initialization Agents are randomly placed on the model grid Aents choose a first need to address Values are attributed an initial level of importance Needs are attributed an initial size A number of technologies is created per function Values evaluate whether existing technologies are morally unacceptable Input data The model was built based on a description of the pragmatist account of value change proposed in van de Poel and Kudina (2022). We have not used empirical data. We attribute values to agents through scales (e.g., between 0 and 10) to evaluate the impact of low and high values on model outcomes (e.g., a low vs. a high propensity for value adaptation).
	 Initialization Agents are randomly placed on the model grid Aents choose a first need to address Values are attributed an initial level of importance Needs are attributed an initial size A number of technologies is created per function Values evaluate whether existing technologies are morally unacceptable Input data The model was built based on a description of the pragmatist account of value change proposed in van de Poel and Kudina (2022). We have not used empirical data. We attribute values to agents through scales (e.g., between 0 and 10) to evaluate the impact of low and high values on model outcomes (e.g., a low vs. a high propensity for value adaptation).